On January 19, 2012, the court issued an order denying defendant's motion for leave to file excess pages. (Doc. #419). The order stated that defendants had not filed a reply. (Doc. #419). Pursuant to the order, defendants must file a motion for summary judgment conforming to Local Rule 7-4 by February 2, 2012. (Doc. #419). Defendants' motion to reconsider asserts that this court did not consider the reply brief to its motion for leave to file excess pages. (Doc. #424). Thus, this

28

25

26

27

court should reconsider its prior order because "[f]airness demands that the merits of the reply brief be considered by the court in deciding this matter." (Doc. #424).

Motions for reconsideration "should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances." *Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop*, 229 F.3d 877, 890 (9th Cir. 2000). These circumstances are present where "the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." *Id*.

Defendants' motion for leave to file excess pages argues that leave is appropriate because: (1) plaintiffs filed a 42-page complaint, (2) plaintiffs' suit asserts "numerous theories of liability," (3) 45 of the 157 underlying bodily injury claims involve these defendants, and (4) defendants had to review 40,000 pages of documents and complete "over 24 depositions" in this case. (Doc. #402). Defendants summarize their motion by stating that "[g]iven the breadth of the pleadings and the complexity of the case," defendants should be permitted to file a 77-page motion for summary judgment. (Doc. #402).

Defendants' reply repeats the arguments asserted in the original motion. The reply additionally states that the 77-page motion for summary judgment was not an "attempt[] to affirmatively negate each and every allegation in the complaint. Defendants simply attempted to create an adequate evidentiary record to support granting the motion for summary judgment on behalf of two separate defendants." (Doc. #415). Finally, the reply details defendants' attempts to compromise with plaintiffs and defendants' procedural efforts to file the reply brief. Specifically, defendants state: (1) that they attempted to enter into a stipulation with plaintiffs to permit a motion for summary judgment exceeding the allotted page range; (2) that they are willing to stipulate to giving plaintiffs a similar page limit extension; and (3) that they contacted an individual in the clerk's office prior to filing their motion for leave to file excess pages to determine the most appropriate method of filing the motion. (Doc. #415).

In this district, "[u]nless otherwise ordered by the [c]ourt, . . . motions shall be limited to thirty (30) pages." Local Rule 7-4. The additional arguments in the reply brief do not alter the court's earlier finding. Granting leave to file a motion for summary judgment that more than doubles

| 9 | ase 2:08-cv-00369-JCM-GWF Document 432 Filed 01/27/12 Page 3 of 3 |
|----|---|
| | |
| 1 | the allotted page limit is not warranted under these facts. (See Doc. #419, citing Local Rule 7-4). |
| 2 | Thus, defendants have not demonstrated the "highly unusual circumstances" necessary for a |
| 3 | successful motion to reconsider. See Kona, 229 F.3d at 890 (stating that these circumstances exist |
| 4 | where "the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if |
| 5 | there is an intervening change in the controlling law"). |
| 6 | Accordingly, |
| 7 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants Albert Noorda, |
| 8 | et. al.'s motion to reconsider (doc. #424) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED. |
| 9 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' ex parte application for order shortening time |
| 10 | (doc. #420) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot. |
| 11 | DATED this 27th day of January, 2012. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 14 | UNIEDSTATES DISTRICT SUDGE |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

27

28